Attorney Poochigian, Mark S. (for Petitioner Antonette Gutierrez, Successor Trustee)

Petition for Determination of Right to Surcharge Beneficiary's Interest, or in the Alternative, Enforce Money Judgment against Trust Beneficiary

DC	D: 10/7/2005	
	• •	
	nt. from 08101	5
	2115, 110915	3 ,
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
✓	Verified	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
1	Notice of	
Ĺ	Hrg	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf.	
	Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video	
	Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
	Order	Х
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

ANTONETTE GUTIERREZ, daughter and Successor Trustee, is Petitioner.

Petitioner states:

- Settlor Erlinda Gutierrez created the ERLINDA GUTIERREZ TRUST by Declaration of Trust dated 6/21/2005 (copy attached as Exhibit A);
- Trust terms provide that Petitioner shall become sole trustee to fill the vacancy created by Settlor's death; Petitioner is the sole Successor Trustee of the Trust;
- Trust is the owner of an interest in real property on Pecan Avenue in Reedley;
- On 10/22/2007, RAYMOND RENTERIA
 [Settlor's brother], individually and as
 Guardian Ad Litem for RITA RENTERIA,
 filed an Ownership Action in Case
 07CECG03513 alleging that they were
 the rightful owners of the property;
 Court concluded that the Renterias
 failed to establish their ownership;
- On 9/6/2012, the Court also entered in the Ownership Action a \$86,229.95 money judgment in favor of the Trust and against Renteria in favor of Petitioner, individually, and as Trustee of the Trust, and as Executor of the Estate of Erlinda Gutierrez in Case 06CEPR00207 (copy of judgment attached as Exhibit C; Court of Appeal upheld Trial Court decision);
- Accordingly, the Trust is the rightful owner of the property, and Petitioner, individually, as Trustee of the Trust, and as Executor of the Estate, is a judgment creditor of Renteria;

~Please see additional page~

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Continued from 11/9/2015. Minute Order states Mr. Poochigian requests 60 days due to the Stay of Proceedings filed by Attorney Nunez on behalf of Raymond Renteria in U.S. Bankruptcy Court.

Notes:

- Notice of Stay of Proceedings filed by Attorney Nunez on 8/6/2015 shows this matter is automatically stayed with regard to RAYMOND RENTERIA caused by filing in U.S. Bankruptcy Court on 7/24/2015.
- Order Settling Second and Final Account, etc., filed 11/12/2015 in the Erlinda Gutierrez Estate, Case #06CEPR00207, distributes the \$86,229.95 money judgment against RAYMOND RENTERIA to the estate heirs in their respective percentages.

The following issue from the last hearing remains:

 Need proposed order pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.1(F) which provides a proposed order shall be submitted with all pleadings that request relief.

Reviewed by: LEG

Reviewed on: 1/4/16

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 1 – Gutierrez

Petitioner states, continued:

- Order Determining Construction of Trust Instrument and Instructing Trustee filed 9/19/2011 describes the nature of the interests of the parties with respect to the Reedley property under the terms of the Trust; the Court's order finds Renteria is the holder of a legal life estate in the property and is required to [in brief sum, act as to the residence in a manner that a fee simple owner would normally act; not injure or harm the future interest holders; deliver to Antonette Gutierrez or her successors in interest possession of the residence upon termination of the life tenancy; keep the property in repair, pay taxes and other annual charges];
- Renteria presently occupies a portion of the residence located on the property and receives rent from
 leasing the remainder; Renteria has been derelict in his duties as holder of a life estate and has allowed
 the property to fall into a state of disrepair by failing to perform necessary repairs, neglecting to pay
 property taxes and insurance, and is therefore causing harm to the future interest held by the person
 designated to receive the remainder after Renteria's death;
- The Trust provides that the named beneficiaries' interests are not subject to voluntary or involuntary transfer;
- Apart from Renteria's life estate in the property, Petitioner believes he has no assets against which the judgment in favor of the Trust may be enforced, and that the value of Renteria's interest in the property is insufficient to satisfy the [\$86,229.95] money judgment entered against him.

Petitioner requests an Order that:

- Petitioner, as Trustee of the Trust, is entitled to surcharge Renteria's remaining interest to (a) partially satisfy the money judgment entered in Case 07CECG03513, (b) pay for necessary repairs and maintenance on the property, and (c) pay all necessary expenses, including property taxes and insurance;
- 2. Petitioner is authorized and directed to take possession of the property, to lease the property for its reasonable rental value, collect all rents and profits received from the property, and apply the net income from all of the Trust property to the satisfaction of the [\$86,229.95] money judgment] until the judgment is satisfied in full, at which time all of the net income of the Trust shall be paid in convenient installments to Renteria; OR,
- 3. As an alternative to surcharge of the beneficiary's interest, the Trustee under Code of Civil Procedure § 709.010, shall lease the property for its reasonable rental value, and collect all rents and profits received therefrom and apply such funds to the satisfaction of the [\$86,229.95] money judgment]; or shall satisfy the judgment by such means as the Court in its discretion determines are proper, including imposition of a lien on or sale of the judgment debtor's interest, collection of trust income, and liquidation and transfer of trust property; and
- 4. Petitioner is awarded her attorneys' fees and costs.

2 Selvie Hemison Mitchell (CONS/PE)

Case No. 12CEPR00172

- Atty Summer Johnson of Dowling, Aaron Inc., (for Petitioners Kate Singh and Hubert Mitchell, Co-Conservators of the Person)
- Atty Robert D. Wilkinson, of Baker Manock & Jensen (for Petitioner Bruce D. Bickel, Conservator of the Estate)

Petition for Approval of Third Account and Report of Conservator of the Estate; Request for Approval of Payment of Conservators Fees; Request for Approval; of Payment of Conservators' Attorney's Fees and Reimbursement of Costs Advanced; Request for Approval to Execute option Agreement and to Independently Exercise Power to Sell Kern County Real Property; Request for Approval to Reimburse expenses of Co-Conservators of the Person.

			KATE A. SINGH, niece, and HUBERT	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			MITCHELL, brother, Co-Conservators of	
			the Person, and BRUCE BICKEL ,	This accounting was prepared
			Conservator of the Estate, are	by attorney Summer Johnson,
	nt. from		Petitioners.	attorney for the co-
		I		conservators of the person. It is
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Account period: 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2015	unclear why the attorney for
✓	Verified		Accounting - \$1,237,215.62 Beainnina POH - \$1.135,256.98	the co-conservators of the
	Inventory		Beginning POH - \$1,135,256.98 Ending POH - \$1,028,357.79	person is preparing the accounting and not the
	PTC		(\$200,008.69 is cash)	attorney for the conservator of
	_		(φ200,000.09 is Casi)	the estate. Court may require
—	Not.Cred.		Conservator/Person - Not	clarification.
✓	Notice of		requested	Cidillication.
<u> </u>	Hrg			2. Need bank statements
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	Conservator/Estate - \$12,143.10	pursuant to Probate Code
	Aff.Pub.		(per itemization and declaration 97.51	§2620(c)(2).
	Sp.Ntc.		hours at \$150 per hour for fiduciary and	
	Pers.Serv.		transactional services, \$110 - \$125 per hour for case management services and	Need care facility statements pursuant to Probate Code
	Conf.		\$60 per hour for case services.)	§2620(c)(5).
	Screen		Spot per floor for case services.	32020(C)(J).
	Letters		Attorney for	4. Costs include \$126.75 in copy
	Duties/Supp		Conservator/Person - \$9,762.50	charges. Local Rule 7.17B
	Objections		(per itemization and declaration for	states the court considers copy
	Video		preparation of the accounting and	charges to be a normal cost of
	Receipt		review and analysis of option	doing business and therefore
1	CI Report		agreement. 41 hours @ \$275/hr.)	are not reimbursable.
	2620(c)	Χ	Attorney costs - \$561.75 (filing	5. Need Order.
	Order	Х	fee and photocopies)	
	Aff. Posting	^		Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt		Current Bond - \$966,000.00	Reviewed on: 1/4/16
	UCCJEA		(is sufficient)	Updates: 1/7/15
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 2- Mitchell
_		•		

2 Selvie Hemison Mitchell (CONS/PE)

Case No. 12CEPR00172

Petitioner states in the Spring of 2015, Mr. Bickel was approached by Recurrent Energy regarding entering into an Option Agreement for the sale of 2 parcels of real property belonging to the conservatorship estate ("Option Properties"). Recurrent Energy is interested in obtaining an Option Agreement in order to purchase the Option Properties for the purpose of developing a "solar farm."

The Option Properties were appraised by the probate referee as of the date of the establishment of the conservatorship as follows:

19.55 acres in Kern County appraised at \$35,000.00 and 9.55 acres in Kern County appraised at \$19,000.00.

Recurrent Energy proposes to purchase the Option Properties at \$12,500.00 per acre. Consequently the sales price for the 19.55 acre property would be \$244,375.00 and the sales price for the 9.55 acre property would be \$119,375.00.

Recurrent Energy proposes to pay non-refundable option payments totaling \$70,000 over 30 months following court approval (schedule outlined in pleadings).

Mr. Bickel believes that it is in the best interest of the Conservatorship to execute the Option Agreement as the Option Properties are currently undeveloped, not productive, not currently located in the pathway of any other type of commercial development and costs the conservatorship estate ongoing maintenance in the form of annual property taxes.

Mr. Bickel further requests the authority to reimburse the Co-Conservators of the person for travel related expenses to visit the conservatee. Mr. Mitchell and Ms. Singh, the Co-Conservators of the person, each reside outside the State of California. Mr. Mitchell and Ms. Singh believe that it is in the Conservatee's best interest that, in addition to the monitoring of his care by regular telephone and e-mail contact with his care providers at Cottonwood Court, that they visit the Conservatee, on a minimum, a quarterly basis in order to view firsthand his care and well-being. Mr. Mitchell and Ms. Singh estimate that the following amounts would be incurred by one (1) of them each quarter to visit the conservatee:

Flight (roundtrip): \$600.00 Rental car: \$400.00

Hotel (4 nights x 125/night): \$500.00

Total amount: \$1,500.00

With Court approval and authorization as requested herein, Mr. Bickel is in agreement with providing reimbursement, without additional court approval, to the Co-Conservators of the person, on a quarterly basis, for travel related expenses up to the amount of \$1,500.00.

Petitioners pray for an order that:

- 1. The Third Account and Report be settled, allowed, and approved as rendered, and all acts and transactions of Bruce Bickel set forth in it, or relating to the matters set forth in it, be ratified, confirmed and approved;
- 2. The Conservator of the Estate be authorized to pay himself compensation for services rendered in the amount of \$12,143.10;
- 3. The Conservator of the Estate be authorized to pay Dowling Aaron Inc. in the amount of \$9,762.50 for legal services rendered and \$561.75 for costs for costs advanced for a total amount of \$10,324.25;

Please see additional page

2 Selvie Hemison Mitchell (CONS/PE)

Case No. 12CEPR00172

- 4. The Conservator of the Estate be authorized under Probate Code §2590 and 2591 (b) to execute an Option Agreement form Recurrent Energy in the form attached as Exhibit "C";
- 5. The Conservator of the Estate be authorized under Probate Code §2590 and 2591 (b) to independently execute the power to consummate the sale of the Option Properties consistent with the terms of the Option Agreement, without prior court approval or authorization;
- 6. The Conservator of the Estate be authorized to reimburse, on a quarterly basis, without further Court approval, the Co-Conservators of the Person, for travel related expenses up to the amount of \$1,500.00, incurred by the Co-Conservator's of the Person to visit the Conservatee, upon presentation of appropriate documents and receipts supporting the expenses incurred.

Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel's Report filed 7/7/2015.

Note: If the petition is granted, a status hearing will be set as follows:

• Wednesday, September 13, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 303, for the filing of the fourth account.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior the date set the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.

Waiver of Account and Report of Executor, Petition for Order Approving Acts of the Executor, as Reported Herein; For Statutory Attorneys' Fees, Extraordinary Attorney's Fees; Waiver of Executor's Commission; Request for Judicial Notice of Proceedings Held In the

San Diego County Superior Court, Estate of Olivia Issa, Case No. 37-2013-00036170-PR-OP-CTL, Including, But Not Limited To the Minute Orders Dated 1/9/15 and 9/11/15; and For Final Distribution Under Will

	and for final distribution under will					
DO	D: 4/28/01	MAY ISSA LORAH, Daughter and Executor with Full IAEA without				
		bond, is Petitioner.				
		Accounting is waived.				
	A# Cb \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	I&A: \$1,320,000.00				
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	POH: \$1,320,000.00 (a 50% community property interest in a				
<u> </u>	Verified	25% interest in Quetico, LLC				
<u> </u>	Inventory	valued at \$2,640,000.00)				
<u> </u>	PTC	Executor waives compensation				
_	Not.Cred.	<u> </u>				
~	Notice of	Attorney (statutory): \$26,200.00				
-	Hrg	Judicial Notice: It is requested				
ľ	Aff.Mail w	= marmo ocom rako joanerar				
	Aff.Pub.	notice of the proceedings held in San Diego Superior Court, Estate				
	Sp.Ntc.	of Olivia Issa, Case No. 37-2013-				
-	Pers.Serv.	00036170-PR-OP-CTL, including, but not limited to, the Minute				
	Conf.					
	Screen	Orders dated 1/9/15 and				
ľ	Letters Common	9/11/15.				
	Duties/Supp	Attorney (Extraordinary):				
	Objections	\$24,810.00 (for 79.3 attorney				
	Video Receipt	hours @ \$300/hr plus 6.8				
		paralegal hours @ \$150/hr, itemized at Declaration filed				
-	CI Report	11/3/15 in connection with				
	Order	litigation the 850 Petition in				
	Older	Fresno and San Diego)				
		Petitioner states all attorney fees				
		will be paid by the trust.				
	Aff. Posting	Distribution pursuant to				
	Status Rpt	Decedent's Will: May Issa Lorah				
	UCCJEA	and Marwan Mark Issa, Co-				
	Citation	Trustees of the Issa Family Trust				
~	FTB Notice	dated June 24, 1999: Entire				
		estate				

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. Petitioner states the only asset of this estate is the asset valued on the I&A filed 7/9/12, later declared to be the Final I&A, the Decedent's 50% community property interest in a 25% interest in Quetico, LLC valued at \$1,320,000.00, and states that asset is on hand for distribution to the trust per the Decedent's will.

The Minute Order from San Diego Superior Court at Exhibits B indicates the Court ruled that the Quetico asset was the community property of the decedent and her spouse.

However, the Minute Order at Exhibit C, states: "The Court orders as follows:

- Ownership of the decedent Olivia Issa
 10% Interest in Quetico is confirmed;
- 2. The Estate of Murched Mike Issa has no interest in Quetico LLC."

Therefore, need clarification re Property On Hand: Does this estate contain a 50% community property interest in a 25% interest in Quetico, LLC (which would translate to a 12.5% interest) or does the estate now own only a 10% interest per the minute order for distribution to the trust?

Reviewed by: skc
Reviewed on: 1/7/16
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 3- Issa

Fanucchi, Edward L. (for Talina Hurley – maternal grandmother/Guardian)
Status Hearing Re: the Establishment of a Guardianship in Oregon

Nath	naniel, 13	TALINA HURLEY, maternal grandmother,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Scar	let, 10	was appointed Guardian of the minors on 11/19/12	CONTINUED FROM 10/26/15
		On 05/27/14, Guardian's Petition to Fix Residence Outside the State of California was granted.	Minute Order from 10/26/15 states: Counsel represents that the Oregon court continued their matter to 11/30/15 to trail the
	t. from 012615,	was grained.	juvenile case for Nathaniel.
	15, 060115,	On 06/24/14, Debra Swenson, paternal	
	15, 080315,	grandmother, filed an Ex Parte Application	Copy of document titled
_	15, 102615	for Temporary Restraining Order	Acceptance of Appointment as
1	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Preventing Guardian from Fixing	Fiduciary filed 08/03/15 states that
\	Verified	Residence of Minors Outside of California	Talina Hurley was appointed
I	nventory	and an Order Shortening Time on Petition	Guardian on 07/30/15 and that
	PTC	to Terminate Order Fixing Minors Residence Outside of California. The Ex	she accepts the appointment and willingly subjects herself to the
	Not.Cred.	Parte Application was granted on	jurisdiction of the Oregon Court.
	Notice of	06/24/14 and set a hearing for 07/10/14.	Jensaleneri et me eregen eeem
	Hrg		 Need order appointing
	Aff.Mail	At the 07/10/14 hearing, the matter was	Guardian in Oregon.
	Aff.Pub.	set for a court trial on 07/24/14.	
	Sp.Ntc.	At the Court trial on 07/24/14, the Court	
	Pers.Serv.	found that there was no detriment in	
(Conf.	allowing the children to move to Oregon	
	Screen	and set this matter for a Status Hearing	
	Letters	regarding the Establishment of a	
	Duties/Supp	Guardianship in Oregon.	
	Objections	Cover Shoot for Oregon Polition for	
'	Video	Cover Sheet for Oregon Petition for Appointment of Guardian and Attached	
	Receipt	Documents filed 02/17/15 attaches a copy	
	CI Report	of a Petition for Appointment of Guardian	
9	7202	in Washington County, Oregon.	
	Order]	
	Aff. Posting	Status Report filed 12/08/15 states: since	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt	the last hearing, the Oregon court held a	Reviewed on: 01/05/16
	JCCJEA	hearing on 11/30/15. The Oregon Judge set the matter for review on 06/27/16. The	Updates:
	Citation	Oregon Judge also indicated that he had	Recommendation:
	TB Notice	been in contact with Judge Kazanjian	File 4- Swenson
		and that the California guardianship will	
		remain in full force and effect until the	
		Oregon mater gets set aside.	

Attorney Attorney

5

Teixeira, J. Stanley Hinshaw, Caroline K

Status Hearing Re: Filing Second and Final Account or Petition for Final Distribution.

	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	OFF CALENDAR
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Continued to 2/17/16 per Minute Order 1/5/16.
Verified	
Inventory	
PTC Not.Cred.	
Notice of Hrg	
Aff.Mail	
Aff.Pub.	
Pers.Serv.	
Conf. Screen	
Letters	
Duties/Supp	
Objections Video Receipt	
CI Report	
9202	
Order	
Aff. Posting	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt	Reviewed on: 1/5/16
UCCJEA Citation	Updates: Recommendation:
FTB Notice	File 5- Carlson

6 Rick Gerald Smith III (GUARD/P)

Case No. 13CEPR00311

Petitioner Marlene Smith (Pro Per, Co-Guardian)
Petitioner Rick Smith (Pro Per, Co-Guardian)

Petition for Termination of Guardianship

	remon for termination of odd	<u> </u>
		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		CONTINUED to 2/9/2017
		CONTINUED to 2/8/2016
		B -
Cont. from		Per Petitioners' request
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of		
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		D 11 150
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LEG
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 1/4/16
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 6- Smith

6

Attorney

Patricia Stott (CONS/PE)
Shahbazian, Steven L. (for Brooke A. Castle – Conservator/Petitioner)

Probate Status Hearing RE: Transfer of Personal Property

DOD: 01/08/15	BROOKE A. CASTLE, Granddaughter,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
2 2 2 . 0 . 7 0 0 7 10	was appointed Conservator of the	
	Person and Estate on 8-15-13.	 Need status update regarding transfer of personal property.
	Conservator's Second and Final	
Cont. from	Account was approved on 11/09/15.	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	The Order Settling Second and Final	
Verified	Account authorized the conservator to	
Inventory	transfer the balance of the property on	
PTC	hand, after payment of authorized fees, to Clayton James Stott, Successor	
Not.Cred.	Trustee of THE PATRICIA STOLL GREGORY	
Notice of	STOLL SURVIVOR'S TRUST. An affidavit	
Hrg	pursuant to Probate Code §§ 13100 –	
Aff.Mail	13116 by Clayton James Stott was filed	
Aff.Pub.	10/19/15.	
Sp.Ntc.	Minute Order from 10/19/15 set this	
Pers.Serv.	status hearing regarding transfer of	
Conf.	personal property.	
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order	<u> </u>	
Aff. Posting	_	Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt	_	Reviewed on: 01/06/16
UCCJEA	_	Updates:
Citation	_	Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 7- Stott

8A Gene Ray Chance (Estate) Case No. 13CEPR00612

Attorney

Standard, Donna M (for Walter Sherwood Chance – Petitioner - Executor)
Amended First Account and Report of Status of Administrator of Estate Gene Ray Chance

DO	D: 10/16/2015	WALTER SHERWOOD CHANCE, Executor, with	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	-, -,	limited IAEA authority, is petitioner.	.,
		Account period: 07/12/2013 - 07/30/2015	Minute Order of 11/09/2015: Matter is continued due to the defects listed in the Examiner's notes, which are to be
Со	nt. from 110915	Accounting - \$145,375.95	addressed before the next hearing.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Beginning POH - \$136,373.49	3
	Verified	Ending POH - \$143,387.62	The following issues remain:
		(\$8,387.62 is cash)	
✓	Inventory		1. Court order dated 09/20/2013
	PTC	Executor – Not requested at this time	requires all estate funds are ordered
✓	Not.Cred.	Attorney – Not requested at this time	to be placed in a blocked account. The petition asserts that the cash
	Notice of X	, ' '	amounts presently in the Estate are
	Hrg	Petitioner states: the estate is not in a	now held by Sabine State Bank and
	Aff.Mail X	condition to be closed at the present time	Trust in a blocked interest bearing
	Aff.Pub.	until a sale of real property has occurred. At	account. The cash had previously
	Sp.Ntc.	time of sale petitioner would request that	been held in a regular account
	Pers.Serv.	upon confirmation of the sale and receipt of all proceeds from the sale of the real	despite the Court's order which required all estate funds to be placed
	Conf.	property that those funds be distributed	in a blocked account. It appears
	Screen	pursuant to the Private Covenant/Contract	that the Court has never been
	Letters 11/26/13	which has been deemed the Last Will of	provided a Blocking order. Need
✓	Lelieis	Decedent, Gene Ray Chance.	Order to Deposit Money into Blocked
	Duties/Supp	·	Account, mandatory Judicial
	Objections	Petitioner requests: reimbursement for costs	Council Form MC-355 and Receipt
	Video	advanced in the amount of \$21,830.91 for	for Blocked Account, mandatory
	Receipt	payments of costs for the benefit of the	Judicial Council form MC-356.
	CI Report	estate after the sale of real property.	2. Petitioner's signature on the
✓	9202	Wherefore:	corrected Inventory and Appraisal
1	Order	 Petitioner requests the Amended First 	filed 08/03/2015 appears to be a
		Account and Report of Administrator	color copy and not an original
		be allowed and approved as filed;	signature as required.
		The costs of this proceeding be ordered paid by the estate in	2 Dalillan states the leader
		ordered paid by the estate in portions determined by the Court at	3. Petition states the balance on hand is \$145,375.95, however the Schedule 4
		the time of closing the estate.	lists total property on hand is
		3. For such other and further order the	\$143,387.62. Need clarification.
		Court may deem just and proper.	Please see additional page
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 01/07/2016
	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
✓	FTB Notice		File 8A – Chance
		<u> </u>	84

8A (additional page) Gene Ray Chance (Estate)

Case No. 13CEPR00612

- 3. Order appointing personal representative orders all funds of the estate to be placed in a blocked account. Accounting shows income from rents in the amount of \$9,002.46 and disbursements of \$1,988.33. The purpose of the blocked account is so that the funds of the estate are protected and all withdrawals from blocked account are to be approved by the Court. It appears that disbursements have been made without a Court order.
- 4. Need Notice of Hearing on Mandatory Judicial Council Form with proof of service on all interested parties.
- 5. It appears that petitioner is requesting that he be reimbursed for costs advanced in the amount of \$21,830.91 for payments of cost for the benefit of the estate, however it is not included in the prayer of the petition.
- 6. If petitioner is requesting reimbursement in the amount of \$21,830.91 it should be included in the "it is so ordered" portion of the order. Need new order.
- 7. #5 of the Order includes the following statement, "any other property not now know or discovered that may belong to the estate or decedent or in which the decedent or estate has an interest should be distributed pursuant to the Private Contract/Covenant, which the Court has accepted as the Last Will Ray Chance," this statement should be included in the final distribution order not the order for the first account. Need new order.

Note to Judge: Petitioner's request for reimbursement of \$21,830.91 appears appropriate as the reimbursement was for costs advanced for the benefit of the estate such as PG&E, property taxes, and administration costs.

8B Gene Ray Chance (Estate) Case No. 13CEPR00612
Attorney Standard, Donna M (for Walter Sherwood Chance – Petitioner - Executor)
Probate Status Hearing RE: Receipt for Blocked Account

DOD: 10/16/2015	WALTER SHERWOOD CHANCE, Executor,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	with limited IAEA authority, is petitioner.	Need Receipt for Blocked
	Letters issued on 11/26/2013	Account.
Cont. from	Minute Order of 11/09/2015 set this Status	Note: Court order dated 09/20/2013
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Hearing for the filing of the Receipt for	requires all estate funds are ordered to
Verified	Blocked Account.	be placed in a blocked account.
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of		
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 01/07/2016
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 8B- Chance

8B

Daniel Speer (Estate)
Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Administrator)

Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing of the First Account

DOD: 04/12/13	JEOFFERY SPEER, son, was appointed Administrator of the Estate without bond and with full IAEA on 11/18/13. Letters of Administration were issued on	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:
	11/20/13.	CONTINUED FROM
Cont. from 101915	On 10/07/14, at a status hearing regarding filing of the Inventory & Appraisal, the Court removed	10/19/15
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Jeoffery Speer as Administrator and, on its own	1. Need
Verified	motion, appointed the Public Administrator.	Accounting/Petition
Inventory	Note: On 2-3-15, Jeoffery Speer, former	for Final Distribution.
PTC	Administrator, filed an Inventory and Appraisal;	
Not.Cred.	however, the document is incomplete.	
Notice of	Minute Order from hearing on 02/09/15 set this	
Hrg	matter for status regarding filing of the Account/Petition for Distribution.	
Aff.Mail	Account emon of distribution.	
Aff.Pub.	Status Report Regarding Final Distribution filed	
Sp.Ntc.	12/30/15 states: The former administrator filed an Inventory & Appraisal on 02/03/15 listing two parcels	
Pers.Serv.	of real property as the only assets of the estate. The	
Conf.	address on the first parcel on N. Chance in Fresno is incorrect, but the APN is correct. The property at	
Screen	6645 E. Cornell, Fresno was sold by Jeoffery Speer	
Letters	on 02/04/14 for \$258,730.00. The property was	
Duties/Supp	appraised at \$245,000.00. The N. Chance property is secured by a Deed of Trust from Fresno County	
Objections	Federal Credit Union (FCFCU). It appears that there	
Video	is an impound account for the payment of taxes on	
Receipt	this property. The PA visited the Speer property on N. Orchard. The property is occupied by a woman	
CI Report	named Heidi Fail, she grew up with the Speer	
9202	children and has an agreement to live in the	
Order	property arranged by Eli Speer. She pays \$850.00 per month directly to Daniel Speer's account at	
Aff. Posting	FCFCU, which is why the property has not gone into	Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt	foreclosure. The Public Administrators file contains a	Reviewed on: 01/05/16
UCCJEA	copy of an e-mail apparently written, printed and then signed by the decedent on the same day he	Updates:
Citation	was found deceased. The PA does not believe this	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	is a valid will and intestate distribution will be the	File 9 – Speer
	same as if it was a valid will. Jeoffery Speer's siblings all signed waivers of bond and therefore there is no	
	bond from which to collect a judgment. Jeoffery	
	Speer has not returned calls made to him. The PA	
	will contact the other heirs to find out how they	
	would like the PA to proceed, including possible surcharge against Jeoffery Speer. The PA will also	
	contact the tenant to determine if she would like to	
	buy the property. The PA requests the next status	
	hearing be set no sooner than six months from this hearing.	
	inosing.	

Rosalia Garza Garza (Estate) Case No. 13C Nunez, Henry D. (for Estella Garza and Raquel Nanez – Administrators/Petitioners)

First and Final Account Report of Administrators Regarding Estate and Petition for Settlement, Distribution, and Approval

9/28/11					
DOD: 09/28/11		ESTELLA GARZA an	_	NE	EDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
om		NANEZ, Administra Petitioners. Account period: 0 10/30/15			The accounting does not balance. The Total charges and total credits should match. Need amended Petition.
ified entory Cred. ice of Mail Pub.	w/	Accounting: balance Beginning POH: Ending POH: Administrator: Attorney: Distribution, pursuo	Does not \$310,000.00 \$305,029.13 waived waived ant to intestate		The property on hand for distribution includes two parcels of real property. The Petition states that each beneficiary is to receive 1/10 th of the estate. If real property is to be distributed in undivided interests, need written consent of all distributees pursuant to Local Rule 7.12.4. Need Order. Note: All orders or decrees in probate matters must be
s.Serv. nf. een	/14	succession, is to: Nicolas Garza Gar Rojelia Garza Garz Anna Garza Lopez Rachel Garza Nar Alicia Garza Azua Delia Garza Gonza Irasema Garza Go	rza – 1/10 th rzalez – 1/10 th ra – 1/10 th rz – 1/10 th nez – 1/10 th – 1/10 th alez – 1/10 th		complete in themselves. Orders shall set forth all matters ruled on by the court, the relief granted, and the names of persons, descriptions of property and/or amounts of money affected with the same particularity required of judgments in general civil matters. Monetary distributions must be stated in dollars, and not as a percentage of the estate.
2 ler Posting tus Rpt CJEA ation Notice	Х		•	Re Up Re	eviewed by: JF eviewed on: 01/06/16 odates: ecommendation: e 10- Garza
	Sub.Wit. ified entory Cred. ice of Mail Pub. Ntc. S.Serv. of. een ers 10/03 es/Supp ections eo eipt ceport 2 er Posting us Rpt CJEA	Sub.Wit. ified entory Cred. ice of Mail w/ Pub. Ntc. s.Serv. of. een ers 10/03/14 es/Supp ections eo eipt eport 2 er x Posting us Rpt CJEA etion	Account period: 0 10/30/15 Sub.Wit. Sified	Account period: 09/28/11 – 10/30/15 Accounting: Does not balance Beginning POH: \$310,000.00 Ending POH: \$305,029.13 Administrator: waived Attorney: waived Distribution, pursuant to intestate succession, is to: Seen ers 10/03/14 es/Supp ections eo eipt Eeport Delia Garza Garza – 1/10th Anna Garza Lopez – 1/10th Alicia Garza Azua – 1/10th Delia Garza Gonzalez – 1/10th Alicia Garza Gonzalez – 1/10th Delia Garza Gonzalez – 1/10th Teodoro Garza Garza – 1/10th David Garza Garza – 1/10th Teodoro Garza Garza – 1/10th David Garza Garza – 1/10th Teodoro Garza Garza – 1/10th David Garza Garza – 1/10th Teodoro Garza Garza – 1/10th	Petitioners. Account period: 09/28/11 – 10/30/15 Sub.Wit. Ified

11 Essie Lavella Baker (CONS/PE)

Case No. 13CEPR01065

Attorney Lee, Jim D. (for David N. Washington, Jr. – Conservator – Petitioner)

Amended and Corrected First Account and Report of Conservator; Petition for Settlement, and for Allowance of Fees to Attorneys for Conservator

			DAVID N. WASHINGTON , Son and Conservator	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			of the Person and Estate with bond of \$65,000.00, is Petitioner.	Minute Order 11/9/15: The Court needs specific
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Account period: 12/31/13-1/1/15 Accounting: \$357,879.93 Beginning POH: \$327,005.76	information with regard to the delegating of duties by David Washington.
~	Verified		Ending POH: \$269,821.29	g.e.m
>	Inventory		(\$4,821.29 cash plus various real property	Note: The Court typically sets
	PTC		interests and misc. personal property)	status hearing for the filing of
	Not.Cred.		Conservator waives compensation.	the next account for 14 months after the close of the
>	Notice of		Conscivator warves compensation.	last account period. In this
	Hrg		Attorney: \$2,386.35 (for 9.9 hours in	case, the next account would
>	Aff.Mail	W	preparation of this account from 10/16/14 –	be due <u>Monday, March 7,</u>
	Aff.Pub.		9/16/15 @ \$175-250/hr, itemized on Exhibit	2016. Given the current proximity to that due date, the
	Sp.Ntc.		B)(<u>Note</u> : The Court previously authorized a total of \$10,509.41 in fees and costs for	Court may require input from
	Pers.Serv.		services prior to 10/16/14, which included	the attorney regarding a time
	Conf.		\$3,500.00 paid from the decedent's estate	frame for filing the next
	Screen		and the remaining \$7,009.41 paid from the	account.
~	Letters		conservatorship estate as set forth in the	
	Duties/Supp		Petition filed 8/25/14 and Order thereon filed 10/16/14.)	
	Objections		10,10,11.,	
	Video		Petitioner requests an order:	
>	Receipt		Settling the account and report of the	
-	CI Report		conservator as filed and approving and	
 	2620(c) Order		confirming the acts of Petitioner as Conservator;	
Ė	Aff. Posting		2. That notice of hearing of this Petition be	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt		given as required by law;	Reviewed by: SRC
	UCCJEA		3. Directing that the surety bond remain in	Updates:
	Citation		effect until further order of the Court; 4. Directing payment by the Conservator to	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		 Directing payment by the Conservator to Griswold, LaSalle, Cobb, Dowd & Gin, LLP, 	File 11- Baker
			the sum of \$2,386.35 from the Conservatee's estate for legal services rendered; and 5. For such other relief as the Court considers proper.	
			SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES	

Page 2

Re delegation of duties: Petitioner states during the period from 12/31/13 to 1/1/15, the Conservator suffered two hospitalizations for extremely serious health matters. During each of these hospitalizations, Petitioner authorized the caregivers for the Conservatee, who are also the children of the Conservatee, and Petitioner's sisters, to accept the rental income from the real properties in cash so that they could continue to care for the Conservatee. Payments for expenses were made from cash, the caregivers presented Petitioner with receipts for every expenditure, and where expenditures were greater than the funds received, Petitioner used his personal funds to ensure his mother's needs and expenses were met. Petitioner considers these supplements of \$461.00 gifts and does not seek reimbursement. All expenditures are reflected in this account.

Petitioner states he only authorized and delegated responsibilities to the caregivers while he was actually in the hospital. Once released, he resumed control of collection of rents and payment of the Conservatee's expenses. Petitioner has now recovered his health and intends to and will continue to perform all fiduciary duties.

Petitioner states the caregivers occasionally purchase groceries and household supplies in Hanford or Fresno, as items can be purchased less expensively than in Coalinga. These purchases are made with their own funds, and they present receipts to Petitioner for reimbursement. Petitioner resides in Avenal and works all over the area in Lemoore, Hanford, Kettleman City, etc. On occasion, Petitioner will leave cash with the caregivers for incidentals while he is at work. This includes cash from the rents. The caregivers account to Petitioner for every purchase and return all unused funds to Petitioner for accounting reconciliation. See petition for specific details.

Re household composition: Petitioner states the Conservatee requires round-the-clock care. Two of her daughters, Cindy Goff and Terisa Washington, have their nursing credentials. Both left employment where they were making in excess of \$25/hr to return to California to care for their mother upon the death of her spouse, David Baker. The arrangement Petitioner made with the caregivers was payment of a minimal wage plus room and board at the Conservatee's residence. Another daughter, Jackie Washington, also provides assistance and receives mail at the residence, but does not reside there. During this account period, the parties residing with the Conservatee were Cindy and her husband Mike, and Terisa and her son Enoch. Due to the reduced hourly rate for their round-the-clock care for the Conservatee, they are provided with room and board as further compensation, and household expenses such as utilities, cable, groceries, etc., are paid from the conservatorship funds and treated as further compensation for the caregivers. A granddaughter, Jennifer Peden, also provides care so that her mother and aunt can have an occasional day off.

Petitioner states other non-family caregivers charged an hourly wage that was beyond the ability of the conservatorship estate to pay.

Page 3 - NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Note: Petitioner filed a Corrected I&A on 12/21/15. Instead of listing the estimated value of the Conservatee's interest in the Estate of David Baker, which was not yet received, and which value would include both the specific devise and the 20% (as described in prior Examiner Notes), Petitioner individually listed all of the assets that were eventually received from the estate as beginning inventoried assets on Attachment #2, and included the cash portion eventually received from the estate as cash on hand in the Beginning POH in this account.

While it would have been more appropriate to list the <u>value</u> of the eventual distribution (without individual assets and cash) as an asset on Attachment #2, and then follow up with a <u>schedule of change in form of assets</u> (from interest in estate to actual cash and assets received) pursuant to Probate Code §1063(b), Examiner was, with extensive review, finally able to follow Petitioner's account as presented. Examiner notes that the actual Beginning POH is as follows:

Cash Assets: \$25,779.99

(\$5060.49 from United Security Bank, \$20,719.50 creditor's claim paid from estate)

Non-Cash Assets: \$301,225.77

(\$2,000.00 misc. furn, \$600.00 vehicle, \$298,625.77 total interest in Estate of David Baker)

Total Beginning POH: \$327,005.76 (same as Petitioner's total Beginning POH)

A Schedule of Changes in Form of Assets would breakdown of the \$298,625.77 as each portion was received and in what form, and would include all real property (195 Hoover, 305 Baker, 184 Fillmore), receipt of \$18,000.00 cash as family allowance as cancellation of the \$18,000 receivable, and receipt of \$17,625.77 cash (\$9,000.00 plus \$8,625.77) as part of the residue of the estate.

1. It appears that the Conservatee's expenses greatly exceed her income. This one-year account reflects total monthly income of \$30,194.70, which includes Social Security, Veterans benefits, and rents, plus the total distributions of cash from the estate of \$56,345.27 (total \$86,539.97). Disbursements, not including attorney fees, totaled \$73,901.14, including living expenses, caregivers, medical expenses, and rental expenses. This appears to be more than double the Conservatee's income. The Court may require clarification regarding Petitioner's plan for future budgeting.

<u>Note</u>: It appears the rents received from the various real properties totaled \$8,972.00, while expenses in connection with those rentals totaled \$3,586.74 (almost half). The Court may require clarification regarding Petitioner's plan for maintaining these properties, given the Conservatee's expenses as noted above?

12 Fran Mae Johns (Estate) Case No. 14CEPR00073 Attorney Rube, Melvin K. (for Dennis H. Johns - Executor)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing First Account and/or Petition for Final Distribution

DOD: 01/14/2008	DENNIS H. JOHNS, son, was appointed	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Executor with limited IAEA authority	
	without bond on 06/23/2014.	OFF CALENDAR.
	1 - 4 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 1	First and Final Account filed
Cont. from 082815,	Letters issued on 06/23/2014.	01/07/2016. Hearing is set for
101915	Final Inventory & Appraisal filed	02/23/2016.
Aff.Sub.Wit.	11/04/2014 shows an estate valued at	
Verified	\$260,000.00.	Minute Order of 10/19/2015: Mr. Rube was just
Inventory	Fig. al. Comp. ata al lay restaur (2. Assurant)	recently retained.
PTC	Final Corrected Inventory & Appraisal filed 01/04/2016 shows an estate valued	1 Nood First Apparent or Potition for Final
Not.Cred.	at \$302,376.62.	Need First Account or Petition for Final Distribution or current written status
Notice of	1	report pursuant to Local Rule 7.5
Hrg	Minute Order of 06/23/2014 set this status	which states in all matters set for
Aff.Mail	hearing for the filing of the First Account	status hearing verified status reports
Aff.Pub.	and/or Petition for Distribution.	must be filed no later than 10 days
Sp.Ntc.	Status Report of Melvin K. Rube filed	before the hearing. Status Reports
Pers.Serv.	10/15/2015 (for hearing on 10/19/2015)	must comply with the applicable
Conf.	states he has been retained by the	code requirements. Notice of the
Screen	executor, Dennis Johns, who resides in	status hearing, together with a copy
Letters	Sterling, Alaska, to prepare and file on his behalf, a first and final report and	of the Status Report shall be served on
Duties/Supp	accounting and petition for final	all necessary parties.
Objections	distribution. Attorney Rube has	
Video Receipt	reviewed the file provided by the	
CI Report	Executor and has determined that	
9202	before a first and final accounting can be filed, a supplemental Inventory and	
Order	Appraisal needs to filed.	
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
Status Rpt	Mr. Rube states he is having knee	Reviewed on: 01/06/2016
UCCJEA	replacement surgery on 10/22/2015 and will be out of his office for at least two	Updates: 01/07/2015
Citation	weeks. Therefore, Mr. Rube requests a	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	90 day continuance of this matter so	File 12 - Johns
	that a Supplemental Inventory and	
	Appraisal can be filed and a first and	
	final report and account and petition for distribution prepared and filed with the	
	Court.	
		12

Attorney Jerry Casheros; Anil Pai (for Movant Richard Natividad)

Notice of Motion and Motion for Order Awarding Attorney's Fees

			RICHARD NATIVIDAD, son, is Movant,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
			and moves this Court for an order		
			awarding him reasonable attorney's	Note: Attorney LEE S.W. COBB	
			fees related to his opposition to the petition for temporary guardianship	represents Conservator, ROCHELLE ROSENBALM, spouse of	
Со	Cont. from		and efforts to secure proper care for	Conservatee's grandson, who also	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		the Conservatee, based upon the	serves as Trustee of the LORRAINE	
	Verified	N/A	following:	KEEHN 2014 REVOCABLE TRUST.	
	Inventory				
	PTC		This motion is made pursuant to the	Movant requests attorney fees and easts be paid from the	
	Not.Cred.		equitable principles of the Probate Code, and upon the grounds that	and costs be paid from the Conservatorship Estate without	
1	Notice of		the Petitioner conferred a	indicating the source of	
	Hrg		substantial benefit on Conservatee	payment, which information the	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	by and through his involvement in these conservatorship	Court may require due to the insufficiency of cash in the	
	Aff.Pub.		proceedings, and thus should	estate, as evidenced by the Final	
	Sp.Ntc.		receive his reasonably incurred	Inventory and Appraisal filed	
	Pers.Serv.		legal fees and costs;	11/3/2015 showing a	
	Conf.		Petitioner requests that the Court and a request of atternavia face.	Conservatorship Estate value of \$218,891.19, consisting of stock, personal property, and	
	Screen		order payment of attorney's fees [and costs totaling \$24,751.58], as		
	Letters		reasonably related to his efforts to	~\$8,800.00 in cash.	
	Duties/Supp		serve the best interest of the	Ψο,ουσουσο ο σ.σ	
	Objections		Conservatee, and thus	~Please see additional page~	
	Video		recoverable under the Probate		
	Receipt		Code; additionally, Petitioner		
	CI Report		requests his further fees incurred related to this Motion;		
	9202		 Motion is based on the Notice, 		
	Order	Χ	accompanying Declaration of		
	Aff. Posting		Jerry Casheros, Memorandum of	Reviewed by: LEG	
	Status Rpt		Points and Authorities, any filed	Reviewed on: 1/6/16	
	UCCJEA		reply, all evidence and argument	Updates:	
	Citation		at hearing, on pleadings and documents in Court's file, and on	Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice		any further matters brought to the	File 13- Keehn	
			Court's attention on or before		
			hearing.		
			~Please see additional page~		
				12	

13 Additional Page, Lorraine Keehn (CONS/PE) Case No. 14CEPR00474

Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed 11/4/2015, contains the following exhibits, and provides background, in sum:

- **Exhibit A:** Copy of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Petition for Appointment of Conservator filed 6/10/2015;
- Exhibit B: Copy of Settlement Agreement and General Release executed October 2014;
- Exhibit C: Order Granting Amended Petition for Substituted Judgment filed 7/29/2015;
- Exhibit D: Remittance Page from McCormick Barstow Attorneys at Law, containing attorney fee itemization of Jerry Casheros and Anil Pai @ \$300.00/hour and Kelly Lowe @ \$240.00/hour.

Background summary:

- A dispute arose between LINDA COURTNEY, daughter and Petitioner requesting appointment of ROCHELLE ROSENBALM, spouse of Conservatee's grandson, as Conservator of the Person and Estate, and the instant Movant RICHARD NATIVIDAD, regarding the proposed conservatorship of Conservatee;
- With meritorious arguments on both sides, it was agreed to approach the litigation in a reasonable manner instead of engaging in an "all or nothing" approach;
- As a result of parties' combined efforts to resolve dispute amicably to the substantial benefit of Conservatee, Ms. Courtney (represented by STEVEN MATLAK) and Mr. Natividad entered into a comprehensive settlement agreement which resolved multiple issues including securing proper care for Conservatee;
- Because the conservatorship action ultimately provided a substantial benefit to Conservatee, the
 parties, attorneys, and JENNIFER WALTERS, counsel for Conservatee, agreed that Ms. Courtney and Mr.
 Natividad would support one another in a request for attorney's fees and costs from the Conservatorship
 Estate:
- Paragraph 6 of the Settlement Agreement contains provision that Ms. Courtney and Mr. Natividad shall be permitted to seek reimbursement of other payment of his or her attorneys' fees and costs from the Conservatorship Estate pursuant to Probate Code § 2640.1 and other applicable statutes; neither Ms. Courtney and Mr. Natividad shall object to and both Ms. Courtney and Mr. Natividad shall affirmatively support, the award of attorneys' fees and costs to each other; in this regard, if requested by the other party, Ms. Courtney and Mr. Natividad will file with the Court a consent to the reimbursement or other payment of each other's attorneys' fees and costs from the Conservatorship Estate.

Movant RICHARD NATIVIDAD respectfully requests this Court award him \$24,751.58 in attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, continued:

- 2. Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed 11/4/2015 states Movant seeks additional attorney fees, and that a supplemental declaration will be submitted in connection with a reply, if any, setting forth additional time spent by Movant's attorneys on this motion and other matters, which time has not yet been billed to Movant in connection with this matter. It is noted that the Invoice for professional services rendered through 7/31/2015 contains line items that appear to relate to the instant Motion for Attorney's Fees filed 11/4/2015, totaling ~\$2,190.00. In lieu of awaiting any reply to the instant Motion, the Court may require the filing of a consent by LINDA COURTNEY to the reimbursement or other payment of attorneys' fees and costs requested by Movant RICHARD NATIVIDAD to be paid from the Conservatorship Estate, as set forth in Paragraph 6 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. Need proposed order pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.1(F) which provides a proposed order shall be submitted with all pleadings that request relief.

Virginia Howard Revocable Trust 3/29/05 (Trust) Case No. 14CEPR00732 Upton, Andrea M. (for Petitioner Holly Foley)

Atty Atty Kruthers, Heather (for Public Administrator)

14

Petition to Compel Account

, , ,	/28/15: Mr. epresenting Holly ners reports that there
Cont. from 092914, 102714, 012615, 042715, 062915, 8/12/11. Petitioner is informed and are assets to broad days.	ring in; requests 90
Believes man victoria novarbis	ria Howard as the
	pointed the Public
I Inventory I I ' '	is the successor
PTC Potitionar states Virginia Howard died trustee.	
Not.Cred. Petitioner states Virginia Howard died 10/3/12. Petitioner alleges the value of Status Report fil	led 8/28/15 by Public
	tates the Public
	as not had a chance
2	information provided
made a written request for an account new information	ochigian. There is no on to report since the
of the trust. On 5/28/14, following the last status report	rt except that as
Pers.Serv. sale of certain real property owned by Attorney Pooch	nigian explained at
Conf. the trust, Petitioner again requested a the last hearing	-
'	rom the sale of the
Letters remaining assets. Petitioner is entitled to house. Puties/Supp receive an account per Probate Code	
814042 As of 1/4/14 no	othing further has
Dojections been filed by Po	etitioner or the PA.
	sues remain noted:
received diffinition of most disserts	ITIONAL PAGES
9202 but has not received anything since	IIIONAL FAGES
✓ Order that date. More than 60 days have	
Aff. Posting elapsed since Petitioner's written Reviewed by: st	kc
Status Rot request for a full account; therefore, this Reviewed on: 1	
UCCJEA petition is appropriate under Probate Code §17200(b) and Petitioner requests Updates:	
an order of this Court instructing the Recommendate	
FTB Notice trustee to deliver an account of the File 14 - Howar	r d
transactions of the trust to Petitioner.	

14 Virginia Howard Revocable Trust 3/29/05 (Trust) Case No. 14CEPR00732

Page 2

First Supplement to Petition to Compel Account filed 10/22/14 states Attorney Gilbert Fleming continued to assist the trustees until about January 2014. Thereafter, Mr. Fleming continued to principally administer the trust from Fresno County, where the decedent resided and where both trust accounts and real property were located.

Petitioner is informed and believes that the remaining assets consist of a very small amount of money in the trust account, the trustee having depleted the account while still residing in Fresno, and the trust's interest in an investment fund.

No petition to transfer administration has been filed pursuant to §17401 and Section 12.05 of the trust. Accordingly, administration continues to be Fresno County and venue is proper in Fresno County.

Though counsel for petitioner have repeatedly inquired as to whether the trustee has retained new counsel after Mr. Fleming withdrew in January 2014, Petitioner is informed and believes that the trustee has not, and remains unrepresented.

Status Report filed 9/25/15 by the Public Administrator states the status hearing was continued to 9/28/15 to determine what other assets besides the property that was sold have been collected by the former administrator and what still remains. The PA received bank statements from an account at Central Valley Community Bank. The vesting on the account is Virginia Howard Revocable Trust dtd 3/29/05, Virginia G Howard Trustee Victoria L. Howard Trustee. The address is Victoria's address in Iowa. The account was closed in Dec. 2014. On 9/11/15, the PA emailed Attorney Eric Schaffer asking what documentation he needs to direct Winrod Investments to begin sending dividend payments to the PA as successor trustee. No response has been received, but he did say in a prior letter that interest checks of \$12,120.73 since 10/3/12 have been sent to Victoria Howard.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

14 Virginia Howard Revocable Trust 3/29/05 (Trust) Case No. 14CEPR00732

Page 3

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: The following issues with this petition remain noted for reference:

- 1. Petitioner states Fresno County is the proper venue pursuant to Probate Code §17005 (a)(1) (principal place of administration); however, the current trustee, Victoria Howard, resides in <u>Red Oak, Iowa</u>, and the most recent former trustee, Vaughn Howard, resides in <u>Omaha, Nebraska</u>. Petitioner resides in <u>Fremont, CA</u>. Need clarification as to how Fresno County, CA, is the proper venue for this petition.
- 2. Petitioner provides the names and addresses of the beneficiaries, but does not state if these are all of the people <u>entitled to notice</u> pursuant to Probate Code §17201. Need clarification.
- 3. Petitioner mentions receipt of an inventory from the "Trustee's <u>former</u> legal counsel." Pursuant to Probate Code §1214, if the trustee is currently represented, notice is required to be served on the attorney, and Probate Code §17203 requires 30 days' notice.

The Court may require clarification as to how Petitioner knows that the trustee is no longer represented by the attorney who provided the inventory, and may require continuance for notice to the attorney for the trustee, if any.

<u>Note</u>: The trust and amendments were prepared by Attorney Gilbert B. Fleming of Fresno, CA. Is this the attorney that served the inventory? If so, is this the basis for venue in Fresno?

Note: Probate Code §17002 states:

- 17002. (a) The principal place of administration of the trust is the usual place where the day-to-day activity of the trust is carried on by the trustee or its representative who is primarily responsible for the administration of the trust.
- (b) If the principal place of administration of the trust cannot be determined under subdivision (a), it shall be determined as follows:
- (1) If the trust has a single trustee, the principal place of administration of the trust is the trustee's residence or usual place of business.
- (2) If the trust has more than one trustee, the principal place of administration of the trust is the residence or usual place of business of any of the cotrustees as agreed upon by them or, if not, the residence or usual place of business of any of the cotrustees.

If Mr. Fleming withdrew as counsel for the trustees, how did administration of the trust continue in Fresno without him? Pursuant to Probate Code §17002, the principal place of administration follows the trustee.

§17400 applies to trusts that are already before the Court. That is not the case here, and the trust section referenced does not appear to require petition to the Court for transfer. The trust has never been before this Court.

If Mr. Fleming's former representation of the trustee is the basis for Fresno as venue, Mr. Fleming is entitled to Notice of Hearing on Mr. Fleming at least 30 days prior to the hearing pursuant to §17203 and §1214.

Vivian Dorothy Vaughan (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR00143 ey Kruthers, Heather (for the Public Administrator) Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal Case No. 15CEPR00143 15

Attorney

DOD: 07/01/2006	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR, was appointed	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Administrator with full IAEA authority on	
	per minute order of 08/10/2015.	OFF CALENDAR.
		Final Inventory and Appraisal
Cont. from	Letters issued 12/11/2015.	filed 01/05/2016.
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Minute Order of 08/10/2015 set the	<u> </u>
Verified	Status Hearing for the filing of the	1. Need Inventory and Appraisal or
	Inventory and Appraisal.	current written status report
Inventory	, ' '	pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 which
PTC	Minute Order states: The Court appoints	states in all matters set for status
Not.Cred.	the Public Administrator forthwith due to	hearing verified status reports
Notice of	the Petitioner's inability to post bond	must be filed no later than 10
Hrg	and the fact that no other family	days before the hearing. Status
Aff.Mail	member wishes to act at this time.	Reports must comply with the
Aff.Pub.	Jonathan Vaughn and Donna Standard	applicable code requirements.
Sp.Ntc.	are ordered to turn over any and all	Notice of the status hearing,
Pers.Serv.	oral and written information pertaining	together with a copy of the Status
Conf.	to the estate to the Public Administrator forthwith. Letters are to issue form the	Report shall be served on all
Screen	minute order.	necessary parties.
Letters	minute order.	riccossary parties.
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 01/05/2016
UCCJEA		Updates: 01/07/2016
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 15- Vaughan

15

16 Mary Jane Chester (Estate)

Case No. 15CEPR00198

Attorney

Stephanie J. Krause Cota (for Petitioner Cathryn J. Cummings)

First and Final Report of Administrator with Will Annexed on Waiver of
Account and Petition for Confirmation and Approval of Acts of
Administrator and for Allowance of Compensation to Attorneys for Ordinary
Services, and for Final Distribution

and Administrator with Will Annexed, is Petitioner. Cont. from 120715	DC	DOD: 10/21/2014 CATHRYN J. CUMMINGS, daughter			NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:		
Petitioner. Accounting waived? Cont. from 120715	000.10/21/2017			i i		•	NEEDS/TROBLEMS/COMMENTS.
Cont. from 120715 Aff. Sub. Wit. ✓ Verified ✓ Inventory ✓ PTC ✓ Nofice of Hrg Aff. Pub. Sp. Ntc. Pers. Serv. Conf. Screen Letters 052715 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report ✓ 97202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Accounting waived? Accounting waived? Accounting waived? I. 8. A \$45,363.80 POH \$44,329.01 (all cash) Administrator waives Administrator waives Administrator \$1,814.55 (statutory) Administrator \$1,814.55 (statutory) Administrator \$1,814.55 (statutory) Administrator \$1,814.55 (statutory) Aff. Pub. Sp. Ntc. Distribution pursuant to Decedent's will is to: PEGGY J. WAYTE - \$13,887.99 cash; PATRICIA A. SPRING - \$13,887.99 cash; CATHRYN J. CUMMINGS - \$13,887.98 cash. Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 1/8/16 UDCCJEA				· ·		17 (11110)(04) 13	Continued from 12/7/2015. Minute
Cont. from 120715	-						Order states counsel represents that
Aff.Sub.Wift. ✓ Verified ✓ Verified ✓ Inventory ✓ Inventory ✓ PTC ✓ Not.Cred. ✓ Notice of Hrg ✓ Aff.Mail ✓ Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Distribution pursuant to Decedent's Will is to: Screen Letters 052715 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report ✓ 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA I & A S45,363.80 A44,329.01 (all cash) Mate: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects.		nt from 12071	E	Accounting waive	d?		she is prepared to address the defects;
Verified			5	Ι Ο Λ		¢4E 242 00	· ·
Verlined (all cash) Administrator waives Not. Cred. Attorney S1,814.55 (statutory) Volice of Hrg Costs S850.50 (filing fee, publication, certified copies) Bond Sp.Ntc. Distribution pursuant to Decedent's Will is to: Screen Letters 052715 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt C1 Report V 9202 V Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Administrator waives Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cures all defects. Note: Declaration of Steven G. Sprin filed 12/21/2015 cur					_	•	
Inventory Administrator	✓	Veritied				• •	necessary on 1/11/2016.
Administrator — waives Attorney — \$1,814.55 (statutory) Aff.Mail W/ Aff.Pub. Bond — \$176,000.00 Sp.Ntc. Distribution pursuant to Decedent's Will is to: Pers.Serv. Will is to: Conf. Screen	1	Inventory				,	Note: Declaration of Steven G. Spring
Attorney — \$1,814.55 Notice of Hrg		DTC		Administrator		waives	
Statutory Statutory Statutory	✓						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Notice of Hrg	✓	Not.Cred.		·	_	\$1,814.55	
Hrg		Notice of		(STATUTOTY)			
Aff. Pub. Aff. Pub. Sp. Ntc. Pers. Serv. Conf. Screen Letters 052715 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCC JEA Aff. Pub. Bond \$176,000.00 Sp. Ntc. Distribution pursuant to Decedent's Will is to: Will is to: PEGGY J. WAYTE - \$13,887.99 cash; PATRICIA A. SPRING - \$13,887.99 cash; CATHRYN J. CUMMINGS - \$13,887.98 cash. Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 1/8/16 Updates:	*			Costs	_	\$850.50	
Sp.Ntc. Distribution pursuant to Decedent's Will is to: Screen Letters 052715 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report / 9202 / Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Distribution pursuant to Decedent's Will is to: Will is to: PEGGY J. WAYTE - \$13,887.99 cash; PATRICIA A. SPRING - \$13,887.99 cash; CATHRYN J. CUMMINGS - \$13,887.98 cash. Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 1/8/16 Updates: Reviewed on: 1/8/16	✓		W/		on, certifi	1	
Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Distribution pursuant to Decedent's Will is to: Screen PEGGY J. WAYTE – \$13,887.99 cash; PATRICIA A. SPRING – \$13,887.99 cash; Objections CATHRYN J. CUMMINGS – \$13,887.98 cash. CI Report 7 9202 7 Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Reviewed on: 1/8/16 Updates:		Aff.Pub.		Bond	— S 1	76.000.00	
Conf. Screen Duties/Supp Objections PATRICIA A. SPRING - \$13,887.99 cash; PATRICIA A. SPRING - \$13,887.99 cash; Objections Video Receipt CI Report ✓ 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 1/8/16 Updates:		Sp.Ntc.		20110	Ψ.	. 0,000.00	
Coll. Screen Duties/Supp Objections Objections Cathryn J. Cummings – \$13,887.99 cash; CATHRYN J. Cummings – \$13,887.98 cash. CI Report ✓ 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Reviewed on: 1/8/16 Updates:		Pers.Serv.		<u>-</u>	nt to De	cedent's	
Letters 052715 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt ✓ 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA PEGGY J. WAYTE - \$13,887.99 cash; PATRICIA A. SPRING - \$13,88		Conf.		Will is to:			
Letters USZ/15 Duties/Supp Cash; Objections Video Receipt CI Report / 9202 / Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA PATRICIA A. SPRING – \$13,887.99 cash; CATHRYN J. CUMMINGS – \$13,887.98 cash.		Screen		DECCY I WAY	FF 610	007.00	
Duties/Supp Cash; CATHRYN J. CUMMINGS – \$13,887.98 cash. CI Report ✓ 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 1/8/16 Updates:		Letters 0527	15		•		
Objections Video Receipt CI Report √ 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA CATHRYN J. CUMMINGS – \$13,887.98 cash. Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 1/8/16 Updates:		Duties/Supp			KING – S	13,667.77	
Video Receipt \$13,887.98 cash. CI Report ✓ 9202 ✓ Order Reviewed by: LEG Status Rpt Reviewed on: 1/8/16 UCCJEA Updates:		Objections		,	IAAAAINIC	c	
Receipt CI Report		Video					
y 9202 y Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 1/8/16 Updates:		Receipt		\$13,007.70 CUS	11.		
✓ Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 1/8/16 Updates:		CI Report					
Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 1/8/16 Updates:	✓	9202					
Status Rpt UCCJEA Reviewed on: 1/8/16 Updates:	✓	Order					
UCCJEA Updates:		Aff. Posting					Reviewed by: LEG
		Status Rpt					Reviewed on: 1/8/16
		UCCJEA					Updates:
Citation Recommendation:		Citation					Recommendation:
FIB Notice File 16 - Chester	✓	FTB Notice					File 16 - Chester

17 Anna B. Ceja (Estate) Case
Attorney Wall, Jeffrey L (for Rudy Ceja – Administrator)
Order to Show Cause RE: Failure to File Proof of Bond

DOD: 06/16/2006	RUDY CEJA, son was appointed Administrator with full	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD. 06/16/2006	IAEA with bond set at \$10,000.00 on 10/23/2015.	NEEDS/FROBLEWS/COMMENTS.
	17 \L7 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	Receipt of Bond in the amount of \$10,000.00 was filed	
	on 12/11/2015.	
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Letters issued on 12/14/2015.	
Verified		
Inventory	Minute Order of 12/07/2015 set this Order to Show	
PTC	Cause.	
Not.Cred.	Minute Order states: No Appearances. The Court	
	issues an Order to Show Cause to Jeff Wall and Rudy	
Notice of	Ceja, as to why Mr. Ceja should not be removed for his	
Hrg	failure to file proof of bond and failure to appear today. Mr. Wall and Mr. Ceja are both ordered to be	
Aff.Mail	personally present in court on 01/11/2016 even if proof	
Aff.Pub.	of bond is posted before that date.	
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.	Declaration of Jeffrey Wall Regarding Hearing on Order	
Conf.	to Show Cause filed 12/29/2015 states he personally	
Screen	accepts the responsibility for the non-appearance.	
Letters	The error was entirely his own. His client was not at fault.	
Duties/Supp	Mr. Wall states when he and his client were in court on	
Objections	Rudy Ceja's Petition for Probate, the Court announced	
Video	several compliance dates including the bond compliance date of December 7, 2015 and the status	
Receipt	hearing of December 12, 2016. Mr. Wall states he	
CI Report	hastly wrote the dates in his calendar but got it wrong.	
9202	Have been confused by the two December dates that	
Order	were announced, he wrote December 12, 2015 in his	
Aff. Posting	calendar instead of December 7, 2015 as the bond	Reviewed by: LV
Status Rpt	compliance date. December 12, 2015 was a Friday,	Reviewed on: 01/06/2016
UCCJEA	and Mr. Wall states he should have realized that a	Updates:
Citation	status hearing would not be set on a Friday, but that did not occur to him at the time.	Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 17- Ceja
	Please see additional page	
	<u></u>	
<u> </u>		

17

17(additional page) Anna B. Ceja (Estate)

Case No. 15CEPR00204

Mr. Wall states according to his file notes, he started his efforts to obtain the bond on 10/27/2015. There were problems in trying to find a bonding company that he could work with. He called several bonding agencies, but ended up going with a bonding agency in Florida, called Surety One. That process took several weeks, because after the initial online application, Surety One followed up with three more requests for information which were required by their underwriters. The third request was for Rudy Ceja's financial statement, which took some time to prepare, because he had to get asset information from Mr. Ceja.

All requirements were completed and the original bond was received in the mail around 11/23/2015, but it needed to be signed by Mr. Wall's client before it could be filed. Because Mr. Ceja operates a trucking company and cannot always be reached during business hours, and because he lives in Firebaugh, which is quite a distance from Mr. Wall's office. The signed bond was delivered to Mr. Wall's office on 12/08/2015, but he was not in his office that day. Mr. Wall states he was still in belief that the Court's deadline for filing bond was the following Thursday. The following day, he left the bond in his court courier's box to be filed at the Clerk's office, presumably on 12/10/2015. Why it was not filed that day is not known. The filing date on his copy says 12/11/2015. Mr. Wall states his mail is delivered late in the day and he received the OSC in his office mail in the late afternoon of Thursday 12/11/2015. Only then did he realize his error.

Mr. Wall states he apologizes for the inconvenience this has caused the Court. He has never intentionally failed to attend any scheduled court hearings in his more than 42 years of practice. Mr. Wall states he acknowledges that he unintentionally failed to attend several court hearings over the years to calendaring errors.

Attorneys

Motion to be Relieved as Counsel

Aff.Sub.Wit.	
✓ Verified	Χ
Inventory	
PTC	
Not.Cred.	
Notice of	Х
Hrg	
✓ Aff.Mail	
Aff.Pub.	
Sp.Ntc.	
Pers.Serv.	
Conf.	
Screen	
Letters	
Duties/Supp	
Objections	
Video	
Receipt	
CI Report	
9202	
✓ Order	
Aff. Posting	
Status Rpt	
UCCJEA	
Citation	
FTB Notice	

CANDACE K. LADLEY, of Poulsbo, WA, and MARLA MARTINEZ, of Burbank, CA, Attorneys for Jeremy Leland Hernandez, are Movants and request an order permitting them to be relieved as attorneys of record for **JEREMY** LELAND HERNANDEZ.

Declaration of Candace K. Ladley attached to the Motion states:

- 1. She is the attorney of record for Petitioner Jeremy Leland Hernandez in this matter.
- 2. Factors have arisen that permit her to seek the Court's authorization to be relieved as counsel for Petitioner in accordance with the provisions of the Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 3-700, subdivision (C).
- 3. On 9/28/15, she texted the Petitioner and advised him that she intended to withdraw from his case as attorney as he had not taken certain actions that she requested of him.
- 4. Pursuant to paragraph 223 of the Law and Motion Policy Memorandum, a copy of this notice is being sent to Petitioner at his address confirmed by telephone conversation on 9/15/15.

Proof of Service filed 12/7/15 indicates that the Notice of Motion and Motion to be Relieved as Counsel was served on all interested parties by mail on 12/1/15.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Note: Trial on the Amended Petition filed by Co-Petitioners RACHELLE RAE **ROBLES RICO and JEREMY LELAND** HERNANDEZ, represented by Candace K. Ladley, is set for 2/23/16.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Page 2 – NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

- The motion was filed and verified by Attorney Ladley <u>only</u>, but appears to request that both Attorneys Ladley and Martinez be relieved as counsel (see #1 of Motion) for Jeremy Leland Hernandez <u>only</u> (see name of client above #1 of Motion).
 - a. Attorney Martinez did not sign the motion, did not file a Declaration in Support, and was not included in the service of the motion. Because the attorneys do not appear to practice from the same office, the Court may require verification or further information re Attorney Martinez' participation in this motion.

 Note: The proposed order appears to relieve only Attorney Ladley as counsel of record for Jeremy Leland Hernandez.
 - b. Attorneys Ladley and Martinez represent <u>both</u> petitioners in this matter, Jeremy Leland Hernandez and Rachelle Rae Robles Rico. (See Amended Petition filed 8/12/15.) This motion appears to requests to be relieved as counsel for Jeremy Leland Hernandez <u>only</u>. The Court may require clarification or further information regarding continued representation of Rachelle Rae Robles Rico.
- 2. The <u>mandatory</u> Judicial Council Form MC-052 Declaration in Support of Attorney's Motion to be Relieved as Counsel was not used, which form requires the specific reasons for the motion, details re notice to the client, and details re ongoing matters and upcoming hearings. The Court may require continuance for proper filing and service of this mandatory form pursuant to Cal. Rule of Court 3.1362.
- 3. Alternatively, the Court may require further information regarding how this motion will affect the upcoming trial on 2/23/16.
- 4. It appears this motion was served by mail on all interested parties (except Attorney Martinez as noted above); however, <u>mandatory</u> Judicial Council Form Notice of Hearing DE-120 is required for hearings in all probate matters pursuant to Probate Code §1211. The Court may require continuance for proper service of Notice of Hearing.

19 Charles Kemmer (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR00638
Attorney Markeson, Thomas A. (for Christopher Kemmer – Administrator)
Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

DOD: 05/30/2015	CHRISTOPHER KEMMER, was appointed	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
, ,	Administrator with full IAEA without	, ,
	bond on 08/10/2015.	OFF CALENDAR.
		Final Inventory and Appraisal
Cont. from	Letters issued on 08/12/2015.	filed 01/08/2016.
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Minute Order of 08/10/2015 set this	
Verified	Status Hearing for the filing of the	
Inventory	Inventory and Appraisal.	
PTC	Status Report filed 01/05/2016 states the	
Not.Cred.	filing of the inventory and appraisal was	
Notice of	delayed because of a situation	
Hrg	regarding tax liens (about \$50,000.00)	
Aff.Mail	that were discovered when a title	
Aff.Pub.	search was done in November in	
Sp.Ntc.	regards to the sale of the residence.	
Pers.Serv.	The liens, if imposed, will not allow a	
Conf.	completion of the sale (the mortgage,	
Screen	tax liens and other expenses exceed	
Letters	the sale price). Last month a request for the IRS to Discharge the Lien, was	
Duties/Supp	prepared but that request requires an	
Objections	appraisal in order to be processed.	
Video	Because the estate has no cash,	
Receipt	petitioner's attorneys were unwilling to	
CI Report	advance the funds to the Probate	
9202	Referee for this appraisal. Petitioner	
Order	advanced the appraisal fees from his	
Aff. Posting	personal funds and the inventory was	Reviewed by: LV
Status Rpt	submitted to Steven Diebert on	Reviewed on: 01/06/2016
UCCJEA	December 30 for his action. Petitioner is	Updates:
Citation	hopeful that the appraisal will be completed prior to the status hearing	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	however if not, he requests the court	File 19- Kemmer
	continue this hearing for 30 days in	
	order to receive the final inventory and	
	appraisal on file with the Court.	

Zachery Ruffner (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00646 Walters, Jennifer L. (for Jana Todd – Maternal Aunt – Petitioner) 20

Attorney:

Objector: Ruffner, Brian (pro per)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. Code §1510)

		Soo polition for details	<u> </u>
<u> </u>		See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:
			COMMENTS.
			Minute Order 11/9/16:
			Mr. Ruffner is provided
Со	nt. from 083115,		with Examiner Notes. If
092	2815, 110915		the service defects are
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		not cured for the 1/11/16
~	Verified		hearing, Mr. Ruffner's
	Inventory		Objection will be stricken
	PTC		and the Court will move
	Not.Cred.		forward.
~	Notice of		As of 1/6/16, nothing
	Hrg		further has been filed.
~	Aff.Mail		
	Aff.Pub.		1. Need proof of service
	Sp.Ntc.		of the Objections of Brian Ruffner on:
~	Pers.Serv.		a. Karen Todd-Lopez
~	Conf.		(mother)
	Screen		b. Zachary Ruffner
~	Letters		(minor)
>	Duties/Supp		
~	Objections		
	Video		
	Receipt		
~	CI Report		
~	Clearances		
~	Order		
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 1/6/16
~	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 20 – Ruffner
			00

20

Petitioner: Michelle L. Sullivan (pro per)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

			TEMPORARY EXPIRES 1/11/16	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			MICHELLE L. SULLIVAN , maternal grandmother, is petitioner.	Minute order dated 11/9/15 states Nichole De Los Reyes and Ronnie Fletcher state that they have not
Со	nt. from 11091	5	Please see petition for details.	used meth or marijuana for
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			approximately 2 months. The Court
✓	Verified		Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel's Report filed on 11/2/15.	orders Nichole De Los Reyes and Ronnie Fletcher to report to Avertest
	Inventory		, , ,	for urine drug tests forthwith, with
	PTC			Michelle Sullivan paying the costs of
	Not.Cred.			the tests. The test results are to be
✓	Notice of Hrg			brought to court on 1/11/16.
✓	Aff.Mail	W/		
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
✓	Pers.Serv.	W/		
✓	Conf. Screen			
✓	Letters			
✓	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
✓	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 1/4/16
✓	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 21 - Fletcher

Petitioner

Dominic Brooks (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CE Chretien, James Joseph, Sr. (Pro Per – Maternal Grandfather – Petitioner) Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

_	Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person				
			See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/	
				COMMENTS:	
 				Minute Order 11/9/15:	
H				Examiner Notes provided in	
Со	nt'd from 1109	15		open court. Ms. Parker	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			represents that the paternal	
~	Verified			grandfather is Allen Brooks, Sr.,	
	Inventory			and the paternal grandmother	
	PTC			is Darlene Manning.	
-				Continued to 1/11/16.	
 	Not.Cred.				
	Notice of	Х		1. Notices of Hearing filed	
<u> </u>	Hrg			11/19/15 on the paternal	
	Aff.Mail			grandparents do not state	
	Aff.Pub.			that a copy of the petition was served with the notice	
	Sp.Ntc.			§1511, and the server's	
	Pers.Serv.			information is not included.	
	Conf.			The Court may require	
	Screen			further service.	
~	Letters				
	Duties/Supp			Also, need clarification:	
~	Objections			Per the minute order of	
	Video			11/9/15, the paternal	
	Receipt			grandmother's name is	
_	CI Report			Darlene Manning;	
-	•			however, the Notice of Hearing indicates service	
 	Clearances			on Darlene Hall. Is this the	
*	Order			same person?	
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc	
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 1/6/16	
~	UCCJEA			Updates:	
	Citation			Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice			File 22 - Brooks	
		·		-	

Attorney

Betty Chambers (Spousal) Case
Porter, Tres A. (for Larry Chambers – surviving spouse/Petitioner)
Spousal or Domestic Partner Property Petition

DOD: 05/16/08			LARRY CHAMBERS , surviving spouse, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
			No other proceedings.	COMMENTS:
			·	CONTINUED FROM 11/09/15
			Decedent died intestate.	Minute Order from 11/09/15
Cont. from	n 102615	j,	Petitioner states that he and the decedent	states: Matter is continued
110915			were married on 06/16/56 and remained married until decedent's death on 05/16/08.	for Counsel to do further
Aff.Su			Petitioner states that he and the Decedent had	research regarding the defect listed in the
✓ Verifie			two sons during their marriage and have no	Examiner's notes.
Inven	tory		predeceased children. Decedent inherited the ½ interest in the real property seeking to be	Examiner 3 notes.
PTC			passed with this petition from her mother's	1. Petitioner states that the
Not.C			estate on 11/29/65, while the decedent and	property was
✓ Notice	e of		petitioner were married.	transmuted from
Hrg	•,	,	Petitioner requests Court confirmation that ½	separate property to
✓ Aff.Mc		w/	interest in real property located at 13506 W. Kearney Blvd., Kerman, passes to him.	community property by verbal agreement of the
Aff.Pu			Realitey biva., Reiman, passes to film.	parties prior to 01/01/85.
Sp.Nto			Supplemental Declaration of Larry Chambers in	The Court may require
Pers.S			Support of Spousal Property Petition filed 12/15/15 states: while it's true the property in	more information or
Conf.			question was obtained by decedent via	evidence of such
Scree			inheritance, the decedent and Petitioner	transmutation.
Letters			discussed many times over the years the fact that the property was theirs together as a	
	s/Supp		couple. California Family Code § 850 et seq.	
Objec			provides that married persons, can, by	
Video			agreement, transmute separate property to community property. Petitioner states that he	
Recei			and the decedent always treated the property	
CI Rep	port		as their community property and it was their	
9202			joint intention that the decedent's interest in the property be theirs together as community	
✓ Order			property. They raised their children there and	
Aff. Po			continually referred to it as their home.	Reviewed by: JF
Status			Petitioner and his attorney contend that an oral agreement to transmute the property such as	Reviewed on: 01/05/16
UCCJ			the one between decedent and himself is valid	Updates:
Citatio			because the transmutation occurred prior to January 1, 1985.	Recommendation:
FTB No	ofice		January 1, 1703.	File 23 – Chambers
			Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Spousal Property Petition filed 12/15/15 states: it is the position of Petitioner and his attorney that a transmutation of the property occurred during the term of their marriage before 01/01/85, whereby the subject property went from separate property of the decedent to community property of the decedent and petitioner. Legal argument in support provided.	
				23

24 Shaquille Wortham, Raymond Richardson, Jaharri Richardson (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00960

Petitioner: Christina Jones (pro per)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

			TEMPORARY EXPIRES 1/11/16	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			CHRISTINA JONES, maternal aunt, is petitioner.	This petition is as to RAYMOND RICHARDSON and JAHARRI RICHARDSON only.
Co	nt. from	Ī	Please see petition for details.	·
✓	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified		Court Investigator Report filed on 12/21/15	Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the petition or consent
	Inventory		12/21/13	and waiver of notice or
	PTC		Court Investigator Supplemental	declaration of due diligence on:
	Not.Cred.		Report filed on 1/5/16	a. Raymond Richardson (minor)
1	Notice of Hrg			b. Shaquille Wortham (sibling)c. Darnesha Hopkins (sibling)
✓	Aff.Mail	W/		d. Jaharri's paternal grandparents
	Aff.Pub.			Ŭ '
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.			
	Conf. Screen			
1	Letters			
1	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
1	CI Report			
	9202			
1	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 1/7/15
✓	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 24- Wortham & Richardson

Attorney

Jared R. Callister (for Petitioner Susan Belanger)

Petition for Order Confirming Trust Assets (Heggstad); and Determination Concerning Construction of Trust Instrument; and Instructions

_	Donna Novak DOD: 2/20/2005			
	adimir Novak			
	DD: 5/4/2015			
Сс	nt. from 12071	5		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
√	Verified			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
1	Notice of			
	Hrg			
✓	Aff.Mail	W/		
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.			
	Conf.			
	Screen			
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
	Order	✓		
	Aff. Posting			
	Status Rpt			
	UCCJEA			
	Citation			
	FTB Notice			

SUSAN BELANGER, Successor Trustee of the **SURVIVOR'S TRUST** and **RESIDUAL TRUST**, is Petitioner.

Petitioner states:

- VLADIMIR STEVE NOVAK and his wife, DONNA MARGENE NOVAK, established on 6/10/1981 the NOVAK FAMILY TRUST OF 1981 (copy attached as Exhibit A); over the years, the Trust held title to 7 different residential rental homes;
- Upon the death of Ms. Novak on 2/20/2005, per terms of the Trust the assets were divided into 2 sub-trusts:
 RESIDUAL TRUST and SURVIVOR'S TRUST (the latter known as the VLADIMIR NOVAK REVISED AND RESTATED SURVIVOR'S TRUST (copy of restated survivor's trust dated 11/8/2006 and 4/9/2007 first amendment attached as Exhibit B);
- Steps were taken to allocate the 7 rental homes to the 2 sub-trusts: 50% interest in each of the rental homes funded the RESIDUAL TRUST, and 50% interest in each of the rental homes funded the SURVIVOR'S TRUST;

~Please see additional page~

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Continued from 12/7/2015. Minute Order states counsel will file a declaration with the missing trust page attached. Matter is continued for review of the anticipated declaration; the Court indicates that the matter will be taken under advisement on 1/11/2016.

Reviewed by: LEG

Reviewed on: 1/4/16

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 25 – Novak

Petitioner states, continued:

Confirmation of Norwich Residence as SURVIVOR'S TRUST property (Heggstad Petition):

- During Ms. Novak's life, their principal residence on Norwich Ave. in Clovis was not transferred to the Family Trust but was held by Mr. and Ms. Novak as joint tenants;
- After Ms. Novak's death, it appears that it was mistakenly believed that the Norwich residence was already titled in the Family Trust, as evidenced by Attorney Mara Erlach filing an Affidavit of Death of Trustee (copy attached as Exhibit C), as opposed to an Affidavit of Death of Joint Tenant;
- In addition, Attorney Erlach had Mr. Novak execute a Grant Deed which purported to transfer the Norwich Residence from the Family Trust to the Survivor's Trust (copy attached as Exhibit D);
- Notwithstanding the execution and recordation of the Affidavit of Grant Deed, title to the Norwich
 residence is still vested in Mr. Novak as surviving joint tenant, as confirmed by a title report prepared by a
 title company at Trustee's request;
- Petitioner requests that the Norwich property be confirmed as an asset of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST under the [Heggstad] doctrine which held that real property not actually titled by way of deed in the name of the settlor's living trust did in fact constitute trust property as a result of the declaration and intent of the settlors that the property be trust property;
- While Mr. and Ms. Novak did not attempt to transfer the Norwich residence to their Family Trust during their joint lifetimes, it is clear that Mr. Novak wanted to, and in fact attempted to transfer, the Norwich residence to his SURVIVOR'S TRUST;
- Not only did Mr. Novak sign and have recorded an Affidavit and Grant Deed attempting to actually
 convey the Norwich residence to his SURVIVOR'S TRUST, but the language in his SURVIVOR'S TRUST also
 clearly demonstrates his desire to transfer the property to his SURVIVOR'S TRUST;
- It is important to note that Mr. Novak has a pour-over will that if probated would require the assets not held in trust to be transferred and allocated to his **SURVIVOR'S TRUST** (copy of will attached as Exhibit E);
- Thus, Petitioner requests that this Court confirm that the Norwich residence is property subject to the SURVIVOR'S TRUST and under the control of Petitioner as [Successor] Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST.

<u>Construction of FAMILY TRUST/RESIDUAL TRUST, Section 12(a)</u>: Petitioner also requests guidance and instruction on interpreting the **NOVAK FAMILY TRUST** so that the Trustee can make appropriate distributions from the **RESIDUAL TRUST**;

- Mr. Novak had no children or issue of his own; Ms. Novak had one son, RICHARD E. CONLEY, from a prior relationship;
- RICHARD E. CONLEY was first married to BARBARA CONLEY and had one child: LEANNE MARTIN aka LEANNE CHRISTINE CONLEY;
- RICHARD E. CONLEY was later married to ELIZABETH CONLEY and had one child: RICHARD (RICKY) A.
 CONLEY;
- Thus, Mr. Novak had one step-son and two step-grandchildren [Page 5 of Petition includes table listing chronological births and deaths and changes to Mr. Novak's estate plan];

~Please see additional page~

25

Petitioner states, continued:

- The NOVAK FAMILY TRUST dictates that at the surviving settlor's death, the trust estate is to be divided
 into one trust share for RICHARD and one trust share for LEANNE;
- Trust further provides that the Trustee is to pay or apply for the benefit of Richard and Leanne, for their lives, net income from his or her respective share of the trust estate, along with discretionary distributions of principle from their trust shares;
- Trust further provides that upon the death of Richard or Leanne, the residue of their respective trust shares is to pass to the trust share of the survivor of the two of them;
- However, as written, the trust language does create confusion as to what is to happen to the share that
 was to be allocated to Richard if Richard <u>predeceased</u> [emphasis in original] the surviving settlor, which
 is what happened in this case; [Richard's date of death is 6/20/2003; Mr. Novak's date of death is
 5/4/2015];
- While the reading of the Trust creates the impression that Leanne is to inherit Richard's share, there is a colorable argument that California's anti-lapse statute might apply in this case;
- While Petitioner believes that Leanne is the sole beneficiary of the RESIDUAL TRUST due to its terms and
 extrinsic evidence of Mr. Novak's intent, there is sufficient ambiguity that Petitioner seeks this Court's
 assistance and instruction on the proper interpretation of these trust terms;
- If the anti-lapse statute is deemed to apply, then Richard's issue will receive his share of the trust estate, namely, Leanne and her half-sibling, Ricky;
- The question is whether Leanne is the sole beneficiary of the **RESIDUAL TRUST** or whether as a result of the anti-lapse statute, Leanne is a **75%** beneficiary with Ricky receiving the other **25%**;
- <u>Support that the Anti-Lapse Does Not Apply:</u> Petitioner believes that the language in the RESIDUAL TRUST
 as well as extrinsic evidence showing settlor's intent, is sufficient to overcome any application of Probate
 Code § 21110, California's anti-lapse statute;
- A plain reading of Trust Section 12(a)(1)(B) of the **RESIDUAL TRUST** makes clear that upon the death of Richard, his share is to be allocated to Leanne and added to her trust share as the survivor of the two of them; this trust provision explicitly includes a survivorship requirement, requiring that the estate pass to the trust of the "survivor" of Richard or Leanne; thus, this provision is adequate to demonstrate that the Novaks wanted Leanne to inherit Richard's share, whether or not he may have predeceased the surviving Settlor; in other words, Leanne was to be the sole beneficiary if Richard was dead or later died;
- This language is sufficient to meet the statutory test under Probate Code § 21110(b) to avoid application
 of the anti-lapse statute as the instrument "expresses a contrary intention" to the application of the antilapse statute and even includes a survivorship condition which is sufficient to avoid application of the
 anti-lapse rules;
- To apply the anti-lapse statute would defeat the settlors' intent and would create a curious distribution scheme that the settlors did not anticipate or desire; if the anti-lapse statute is deemed to apply, then the trust share allocated to Richard would instead pass to his children in equal shares, namely Leanne and Ricky; but if Richard did not predecease the surviving settlor then his share would have passed entirely to Leanne; clearly, the settlors would not have drafted the trust to call for a 100% allocation to Leanne at Richard's death, but only if Richard survived the settlors;
- In addition to the trust provisions as mentioned that indicate Leanne is the sole beneficiary of the
 RESIDUAL TRUST there exists persuasive extrinsic evidence to suggest that the Novak's intended Leanne to
 be, and in fact believed she was, the sole beneficiary of the RESIDUAL TRUST in light of Richard's death;

 ~Please see additional page~

Petitioner states, continued:

- After Ms. Novak's death, Mr. Novak engaged in estate planning with Attorney Mara Erlach, which resulted in the execution of a revised and restated stand-alone **SURVIVOR'S TRUST** (please see Exhibit B);
- Notably, Mr. Novak's SURVIVOR'S TRUST was amended and restated so as to completely remove Leanne
 as a beneficiary and to instead insert RICKY (Richard E. Conley's son) as a 25% beneficiary (along with
 Leanne's 3 children with each of them added as 25% beneficiaries);
- Petitioner believes Mr. Novak removed Leanne as a beneficiary of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST because it was his intent and understanding that Leanne was a 100% beneficiary of the RESIDUAL TRUST;
- In a letter dated 10/24/2006 from Attorney Mara Erlach to Mr. Novak, Ms. Erlach explains the SURVIVOR'S TRUST provisions stating: "You have chosen not to provide for Leanne Conley in your trust, since she will be receiving the entire share of Donna's property from the RESIDUAL TRUST when you pass away." [Emphasis added in Petition]; (copy of Ms. Erlach's letter attached as Exhibit F);
- While one could conceivably argue that the anti-lapse statute should apply in this instance, the wording
 of the RESIDUAL TRUST makes it clear that Leanne is the sole beneficiary of said trust and the survivorship
 requirement of the trust is sufficient to meet the exception to the anti-lapse rule found in Probate Code §
 21110(b);
- This position is further confirmed by the extrinsic evidence which demonstrates that Mr. Novak believed and intended Leanne to be the sole beneficiary of the **RESIDUAL TRUST** and acted upon such belief and intention so as to alter his other estate documents in light of this belief and intention.

<u>Construction of FAMILY TRUST/RESIDUAL TRUST, Section 12(c)</u>: Petitioner also requests guidance and instruction on interpreting the **NOVAK FAMILY TRUST** so that the Trustee can make appropriate distributions from the **RESIDUAL TRUST** at the death of **LEANNE CHRISTINE CONLEY (MARTIN)**;

- There is ambiguity as to what is to happen to Leanne's trust share under the **RESIDUAL TRUST** at her death;
- While Trust Section 12(a) of the **RESIDUAL TRUST** provides that upon her death her share would pass to Richard, if he survived, that provision cannot apply here because Richard is already deceased; thus the only provision that appears to apply is Section 12(c);
- Because Richard is not alive, if Leanne dies while there are still assets in her share of the **RESIDUAL TRUST** then Section 12(c) apparently provides that her share is to pass to "other children and issue hereunder";
- It is not clear what is exactly meant by the phrase "other children and issue hereunder" as the Trust only refers to Richard and Leanne explicitly;
- In light of the ambiguity of this statement, Petitioner requests that the phrase "other issue hereunder" be interpreted to mean Leanne's issue;
- This is in accordance with the general statutory principle that "words of an instrument are to receive an
 interpretation that will give every expression some effect" and that "[preference is to be given to an
 interpretation of an instrument that will prevent intestacy or failure of transfer" (see Probate Code §
 21120);
- Thus, Petitioner requests confirmation that upon Leanne's death, her share of the **RESIDUAL TRUST** assets shall pass to her issue by right of representation.

~Please see additional page~

<u>Construction of SURVIVOR'S TRUST, Article SIX, Section A(5)</u>: Petitioner also requests guidance and instruction on interpreting Article Six, Section (A)(5) of the **SURVIVOR'S TRUST**, as included in that certain First Amendment dated 4/9/2007; this section was added to the trust by an amendment; (see *Exhibit B*);

- It is Petitioner's belief that Mr. Novak was desirous that Leanne be given the right to live in the Norwich Residence, rent-free, for her lifetime;
- Because the SURVIVOR'S TRUST does not explicitly mention the requirement that rent be charged, but
 instead simple states that the Trustee "allow" the Norwich residence "to be used by" Leanne, Petitioner
 believes that the Trustee is not authorized to charge rent to Leanne should she choose to reside in the
 Norwich residence;
- In addition, Petitioner believes that property taxes and insurance on the Norwich Residence, as an asset of the **SURVIVOR'S TRUST**, would be paid by the Trustee from trust funds and not charged to Leanne; however, Petitioner believes that Leanne would be responsible for the payment of all utilities of the Norwich Residence while she resided therein;
- Petitioner requests confirmation that Leanne is authorized to reside in the Norwich Residence rent-free and shall only be responsible for the payment of utilities.

Petitioner prays for an Order of this Court:

- [Confirming that] the Norwich Residence constitutes an asset of the VLADIMIR NOVAK REVISED AND RESTATED SURVIVOR'S TRUST subject to the management and control of Petitioner as [Successor] Trustee;
- 2. [Deeming] LEANNE CHRISTINE CONLEY (MARTIN) as the sole beneficiary of the RESIDUAL TRUST;
- 3. [Confirming that] upon Leanne's death, Leanne's share of the trust estate in the **RESIDUAL TRUST** shall pass to Leanne's issue by right of representation;
- 4. [Confirming that] the Trustee of the VLADIMIR NOVAK REVISED AND RESTATED SURVIVOR'S TRUST is authorized and allowed to permit Leanne the right to reside in the Norwich Residence (or any replacement residence as indicated in [trust terms], without charge of rent, with Leanne being responsible for the payment of utilities on said residence during the time she resides in said residence (or any replacement residence; and
- 5. Determining that with respect to the Petition, the interests of the minor beneficiary are adequately represented without appointment of a guardian ad litem. [NOTE: This finding is **omitted** from the proposed order; it is unclear if this is intentional or clerical error.]

Note Re Appointment of Guardian ad Litem: Petition states that one of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST beneficiaries, TAWNI REANNE FORSTON, (daughter of Leanne) is a minor; the other three beneficiaries are adults; because all four beneficiaries will have an equal 1/4 interest in the SURVIVOR'S TRUST they each have identical interests in the SURVIVOR'S TRUST and thus the minor's interests are adequately represented by the other 3 adult beneficiaries and no guardian ad litem is needed. (See the discussion of doctrine of virtual representation in CA Trusts and Estates Quarterly, winter 2004 [citations omitted]. Probate Code § 1003(a) provides, in pertinent part, that the Court may, on its own motion, appoint a Guardian ad Litem to represent the interests of a minor if the Court determines that representation of the interest otherwise would be inadequate. Probate Code commentary to statutory provisions related to trust matters states it may not be necessary to appoint a guardian ad litem where appears that the affected interest, here consisting of the minor beneficiary's equal 1/4 interest, may be otherwise represented, i.e., by competent adults with identical interests.

Louis Harold Kelly AKA Harold Kelly (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR01053 Johnson, Mark D. (for Petitioner Brian Kelly)

Petition for Letters of Administration; Authorization to Administer under IAEA

DO	D: 7/28/15		BRIAN KELLY, Brother, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
ı	2.7/20/10		Petitioner and requests	THE SO, I ROSELINO, COMMENTO.
			appointment as Administrator	Minute Order 12/7/15: Counsel represents that
			with Limited IAEA with bond to	related litigation with the VA will result in
		_	be determined.	approx. \$50,000.00 for the estate. Matter
Col	nt'd from 1207	15		continued to 1/11/16.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Petitioner is a resident of	
>	Verified		Pioneer, Louisiana.	As of 1/6/16, nothing further has been filed.
	Inventory		Limite d LA EA . old	The following issues remain:
	PTC		Limited IAEA – ok	Need Duties and Liabilities of Personal
	Not.Cred.		Decedent died intestate	Representative and Confidential
	Notice of	Х		Supplement.
	Hrg		Residence: Fresno	- •
	Aff.Mail	Х	Publication: Business Journal	2. Need Notice of Petition to Administer Estate
>	Aff.Pub.		Faliments develope of actions.	and proof of service on Chad Kelly (Son)
	Sp.Ntc.		Estimated value of estate: Personal property: \$5,000.00	and all other relatives listed at #8 per Probate Code §8110.
	Pers.Serv.		(plus \$50,000.00 per Minute	
	Conf.		order 12/7/15, for a total of	3. If only limited IAEA is granted, the Court
	Screen		<u>\$55,000.00</u>)	may require bond of \$55,000.00 to cover
>	Letters		Real property: \$100,000.00	the estimated personal property. Reminder:
	Duties/Supp	Χ	(\$250,000.00, encumbered for	Cal. Rule of Court 7.204 outlines duty to
	Objections		\$150,000.00)	apply for increased bond upon necessity.
	Video		Probate Referee: Rick Smith	4. Need Order.
	Receipt			T. Need Oldel.
	CI Report			Note: If the petition is granted status hearings will be
	9202			set as follows:
	Order	Х		 Monday, 6/13/16 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for
				the filing of the inventory and appraisal and
				 Monday, 3/13/17 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for
				the filing of the first account and final distribution.
				Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents
				are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter
				the status hearing will come off calendar and no
				appearance will be required.
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 1/6/16
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 26 - Kelly
				0/

27 Savannah Garcia-Hernandez and Sophia Garcia-Hernandez (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR01068

Petitioner Natalie Samantha Garcia (Pro Per)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

	NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:			
Cor	t. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory		NATALIE SAMANTHA GARCIA, sister, is Petitioner. ~Please see Petition for details~ Court Investigator's Supplemental Report was filed on 1/5/2016.	 Need Notice of Hearing and proof of personal service of the Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian, or Consent to Appointment of Guardian and Waiver of Notice, or a Declaration of Due Diligence, for: Felix Rolando Hernandez, father.
✓ ✓ ✓	PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections	X	Report was filed on 1/5/2016.	 Need proof of service by mail of the Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian, or Consent to Appointment of Guardian and Waiver of Notice, or a Declaration of Due Diligence, for: Felix Hernandez, paternal grandfather, if Court does not find due diligence per Declaration filed 12/23/2015. Tony, paternal grandmother, if Court does not find due diligence per Declaration filed 12/23/2015.
	Video Receipt			
✓	CI Report			
✓	Clearances			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting Status Rpt			Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 1/6/16
✓	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation FTB Notice			Recommendation: File 27- Hernandez

Jaylyn Reddix, Walterry Reddix, II (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR01076 Figueroa, Maria Carmen (pro per – maternal grandmother) 28

Petitioner

Figueroa, Melissa (pro per – maternal aunt) Petitioner

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

Ja	Jaylyn, 14		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 01/11/16	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			MARIA FIGUEROA and MELISSA FIGUEROA,	Need Notice of Hearing.
W	alterrry, 12		maternal grandmother and aunt, is Petitioner.	Need proof of service by
Co	ont. from Aff.Sub.Wit.	<u> </u>	Father: WALTERRY REDDIX – personally served on 11/30/15	mail at least 15 days before the hearing of Notice of Hearing with a
√	Verified		Mother: REBEKA FIGUEROA – deceased	copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian
	Inventory PTC		Paternal grandparents: NOT LISTED	of the Person <u>or</u> Consent & Waiver of Notice <u>or</u> Declaration of Due
./	Not.Cred.		Maternal grandfather: NOT LISTED	Diligence for:
Ľ	Notice of Hrg		Petitioners state: [see file for details].	a. Paternal grandparentsb. Maternal grandfather
	Aff.Mail	Х		
	Aff.Pub.		Objection filed 11/3/15 by Walterry Reddix,	
	Sp.Ntc.		Father.	
✓	Pers.Serv.	w/	Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed a	
✓	Conf.		report on 01/05/16	
	Screen			
✓	Letters			
✓	Duties/Supp			
✓	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
✓	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 01/07/16
✓	UCCJEA	<u> </u>		Updates:
	Citation	<u> </u>		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 28- Reddix

28

Attorney

J. Stanley Teixeira (for Petitioner Jerry Brown)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary. Authorization to Administer under the Independent Administration of Estates Act

DOD: 7/11/2015	JERRY BROWN aka WILLIAM GERALD	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD: 771172013	BROWN, son, and named Executor	NEEDS/TROBLEMS/COMMENTS.
	without bond, is Petitioner.	Note: Court will set Status
	williagraphia, is remierier.	Hearings as follows:
Cont. from	Full IAEA: OK	 Monday, June 13, 2016 at
Aff.Sub.Wit. S/P		9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303 for the
✓ Verified		filing of the final inventory
1	Will Dated: 6/10/1981	and appraisal; and
Inventory		
PTC	Desidence. France	Monday, March 13, 2017 at
Not.Cred.	Residence: Fresno	9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of first account and/or
✓ Notice of	Publication: Business Journal	petition for final distribution.
Hrg	- Dosiness section	pennon for infar distribution.
✓ Aff.Mail W/O		Pursuant Local Rule 7.5, if the
√ Aff.Pub.	1	documents noted above are
Sp.Ntc.	Estimated value of the Estate:	filed 10 days prior to the dates
Pers.Serv.	Real property - \$900,000.00	listed, the hearings will be taken
Conf.	Total - \$900,000.00	off calendar and no appearance will be required.
Screen	7700,000.00	appearance will be required.
/ Letters		
/ Leners		
√ Duties/Supp	Probate Referee: Rick Smith	
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
√ Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LEG
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 1/7/16
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation: SUBMITTED
FTB Notice		File 29- Brown

Order to Show Cause – Pre RE: Failure to File the Inventory and Appraisal

DOD: 01/13/15		
Cont. from 01041	6	,
Aff.Sub.Wit.		ı
Verified		
Inventory	Χ	١
PTC		
Not.Cred.		1
Notice of		
Hrg		į
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		,
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		

ROBERT BAKER, son, was appointed Administrator with no IAEA authority and without bond on 06/08/15. Letters of Administration were issued on 06/22/15.

Minute Order from hearing on 06/08/15 set the matter for a Status Hearing regarding filing of the Inventory & Appraisal on 11/19/15.

Minute Order from hearing on 11/09/15 states: NO APPEARANCES – The Court issues an Order to Show Cause to Daniel Bruce as to why he should not be sanctioned for failure to appear, and to Robert Baker as to why he should not be removed as Administrator for failure to file the Inventory & Appraisal. Mr. Bruce and Robert Baker are both ordered to be personally present in court or via CourtCall on 01/04/16.

Clerk's Certificate of Mailing attached to 11/09/15 Minute Order states that a copy of the 11/09/15 Minute Order was mailed to Daniel Bruce and Robert Baker on 11/09/15.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

CONTINUED FROM 01/04/16

Minute Order from: 01/04/16 states: Counsel represents that the Inventory & Appraisal will be filed tomorrow. The Court orders that no appearance is necessary on 01/11/16 if said filing occurs, however, appearances by both Mr. Bruce and Robert Baker are required in person or by CourtCall should the filing not occur, and the Court will impose sanctions and/or remove Mr. Baker on that date.

As of 01/06/16, nothing further has been filed.

1. Need Inventory & Appraisal.

Reviewed by: JF

Reviewed on: 01/06/16

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 30- Richardson

30

1

Risner, Randy J. (for Gordon Panzak, son, Successor Trustee) Attorney

Probate Status Hearing Re: Trust Administration

DO	D: 3/12/2010		PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR was Court-appointed as Successor Trustee on 4/29/2013.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Beneficiary and 2nd Successor Trustee Gordon Panzak's Petition to Remove 3rd	This matter will be heard at 9:00 a.m. in Department 72.
	nt. from 01291	5,	Successor Trustee (Public Administrator) was	
092	2115		filed on 1/28/2015 and was set for hearing on 3/16/2015.	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		3/16/2013.	
	Verified		Minute Order dated 3/16/2015 [Judge	
	Inventory		Conklin] from the hearing on the Petition to	
	PTC		Remove 3rd Successor Trustee states Public	
	Not.Cred.		Administrator voluntarily resigns as successor	
	Notice of		trustee and has no objection to Gordon	
	Hrg		Panzak being appointed as successor trustee.	
	Aff.Mail		Petitioner will not file an order for the Court's signature; instead, counsel indicates this	
	Aff.Pub.		Minute Order will suffice. Court sets a status	
	Sp.Ntc.		hearing six months out at counsel's request.	
	Pers.Serv.		[Probate Status Hearing set for 9/21/2015 in	
	Conf.		Department 72.]	
	Screen			
	Letters		Minute Order dated 9/21/2015 from the	
	Duties/Supp		previous status hearing continued the matter to 1/11/2016 in Department 72.	
	Objections		10 1/11/2018 III Deparimeni 72.	
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 1/6/16
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 1 – Panzak